UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,983	03/26/2004	Yar-Ming Wang	GP-304670	9619
Kathryn A. Mai	7590 09/18/200 rra	EXAMINER		
General Motors	Corporation	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
Mail Code 482-C23-B21 PO Box 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Detroit, MI 482	65-3000	1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/810,983	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Kishor Mayekar	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered bee	20100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOī v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-9,11-18 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 11 1 6 6 11 N		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	e because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kishor Mayekar/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Hoffman discloses "the range of amps which may be supplied is preferably about 1 amp per gallon of cleaning solution to about 20 amps per gallon of cleaning solution" from a power supply "that produces a low voltage direct current output from 5 to 350 DC amps". Col. 7, I. 12-31. That there is an upper limit to the current and not as argued by Applicant to enormous amperage for a tank to hold 30,000 to 50,000 gallons. Hoffman further discloses in Example 1 a current of 80 to 95 amps for a surface area of 1800 pounds of shredded or whole used aluminum cans and in example 4 a 400-gallon tank for cleaning 40 used chrome plated grocery carts where the current can be calculated as less than 1 amp per gallon for a 400-gallon tank with the 5-350 DC amps current output (350 amps divided by 400 gallons). In the above examples the surface area of the materials to be treated is quite large. Jaki teaches metallic fines as solids removing from a surface by electrocleaning in alkaline electrocleaners. Jaki also teaches that current densities with values below the recommended values produce light to marginal electrocleaning. Polan teaches the electroleaning of a copper foil from a highly alkaline electrocleaners however with a current density of 0.1 to 50 amps per square decimeter, the current density value being lower the recommended current density of 5-8 amp per square decimeter from Zaki. As such the optimazition holds in the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11-17 and 20 as stated by the examiner in the last final Office action. And further because of absence of proven the criticality of the recited range by Applicant. As to the rejections of each of claims 3 and 18, the rejections stands for depending upon rejected claim 1.